

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,668	11/24/2003	John Ingalis Thackara	033785-013	1626
21839	7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	N INGERSOLL PC	CONNELLY CUSHWA, MICHELLE R		
	BURNS, DOANE, SWI	ECKER & MATHIS)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POST OFFICE	E BOX 1404		ARTONII	TATER NOMBER
ALEXANDRI	IA, VA 22313-1404		2874	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Application No. THACKARA, JOHN INGALLS				Ý			
Examiner Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa 2874		Application No.	Applicant(s)	}			
Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa 2874		10/718,668	THACKARA, JOHN INGALLS	S			
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the providence of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Expense under the providence of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the part of the providence of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled filled for reply specified whose it has the thirty (Q) days, and power of the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled for reply specified for reply specified and part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled for the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled for the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled for the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled for the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled filled filled filled for the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the part of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the content of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the content of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the content of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the content of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the content of JCPR1.13(q). In no event, however, and the content of JCPR1.13(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 and 15X (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for really is pacified above, his macrimum statutory period will stay and well expire SX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for really is pacified above, his macrimum statutory period will stay and well expire SX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for really is pacified above, his macrimum statutory period will stay and well expire SX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period is not period to the period of the communication and period term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1 Responsive to communication(s) filed on		Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa	2874				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited under the proteins of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In on event, however, may a reply be timely filed effect 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the communication of the proteins of the		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period when the set or extended period for reply with by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.8.13-18.22 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4.8.13-18.22 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
Al) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)							
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 5-7.9-12.19-21.23 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5-7.9-12.19-21.23 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 27.9-12.19-21.23 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-4,8,13-18,22 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7,9-12,19-21,23 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Author Motice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Q204.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
To Claim(s) 5-7.9-12.19-21,23 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	r) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Q204.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) All ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-113) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Reper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7,9-12,19-21,23 and 24</u> is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 12) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice Of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice Of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		p 20 2.0.0. 3 110(u)	(4) 01 (1).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.		s have been received.					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			on No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204.	_						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P					
		6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,668

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on February 10, 2004 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Drawings

Eight (8) sheets of formal drawings were filed on November 24, 2003 and have been accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 14, 26 and 31 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 14; the claim does not conclude with a period, additionally the claim states that the alignment coating comprises SiO_{x_1} but does not define x.

Regarding claims 26 and 31; the claims state that the alignment coating comprises SiO_x, but do not define x.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,668

Art Unit: 2874

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8, 13-18, 22 and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (US 2002/0076142 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 15, 27 and 33; Song discloses an integrated optical switch/variable optical attenuator (see Figures 2D and 3), comprising:

- an optical Y-branch waveguide structure (Figure 3) capable of guiding at lest one optical mode and including:
 - o a cladding medium;
 - o a channel waveguide core (340) disposed in the cladding medium and containing an input branch (320) and first and second output branches (360, 380), the channel waveguide core capable of guiding at least one optical mode;
 - o a first liquid crystal material (312; see paragraph [0042], in which Song explains that a thermal-optical liquid crystal material may be used in the invention), the first liquid crystal material associated with the first output branch; and
 - o a first temperature control element (heating element, see paragraph [0042]) disposed in heat exchange relationship with the first liquid crystal material, the first temperature control element selectively adding heat to the first liquid crystal material to thereby change the phase thereof, said change producing differential refractive index loading of the optical Y-branch

Art Unit: 2874

waveguide such that at least a portion of optical mode light directed into one of the first and second output branches is redirected into the other of the first and second output branches;

 wherein the waveguide core comprises a solid medium that is capable of transmitting optical radiation propagating along a given direction.

Therefore, Song teaches a method for selectively directing light in an input branch of an optical structure into one or more of multiple output branches, the method comprising launching light into the optical structure and using the temperature control element to change the phase of the liquid crystal material, thereby causing at least a portion of the light launched into he optical structure to be redirected from one output branch into another.

Song does not explicitly state that the phase is changed from nematic to isotropic. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate a liquid crystal material in which the phase is changed from nematic to isotropic in the invention of Song, since such liquid crystal materials are known thermopotical liquid crystal materials in the art and Song suggests using a thermo-optical liquid crystal material.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 16, 17, 28 and 29; Song discloses all of the limitations of these claims as applied above, except for specifically stating that the liquid crystal material is positively or negatively birefringent. Song does not suggest that a liquid crystal material having any particular birefringence must be incorporated in the

invention, thereby suggesting a lack in criticality of this particular feature. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate either a positively birefringent liquid crystal material or a negatively birefringent liquid crystal material in the invention of Song, as the thermo-optical liquid crystal material, since Song does not suggest that a particular liquid crystal material is used, since both positively and negatively birefringent liquid crystal materials are known and readily available in the art, and since it appears that the invention would perform equally well regardless.

Page 5

Regarding claims 4 and 18; Song discloses that a second liquid crystal material is associated with the second output branch.

Regarding claims 8 and 22; the switch further includes a second temperature control element disposed in heat exchange relationship with the second liquid crystal material and operating to selectively add heat to the second liquid crystal material to thereby change the phase thereof. Song does not explicitly state that the second liquid crystal material has ordinary and isotropic refractive indices that correspond to nematic and isotropic phases of the liquid crystal material, respectively, and that the phase is changed form nematic to isotropic. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate a second liquid crystal material in which the phase is changed from nematic to isotropic in the invention of Song, since such liquid crystal materials are known thermo-optical liquid crystal materials in the art and Song suggests using a thermo-optical liquid crystal material.

Regarding claims 13, 25 and 30; the liquid crystal material is disposed in trenches having a first side, a second side, a top and a bottom, at least one of the first

Page 6

side, the second side, the top and the bottom including an alignment coating (see paragraphs [0041] and [0043]).

Regarding claims 14, 26, 31 and 32; Song does not explicitly state that the alignment coating comprises SiO_x. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate any alignment coating in the invention of Song, including SiOx, since Song does not teach that a specific alignment coating must be used, thereby suggesting a lack of criticality in this particular feature, and since it appears that the invention would perform equally well regardless of the particular alignment coating employed.

Furthermore, applicant is claiming the product including the process of forming the alignment coating, and therefor claims 14, 26 and 32 are of "product-by-process" nature. The courts have been holding for quite some time that: the determination of the patentability of product-by-process claim is based on the product itself rather than on the process by which the product is made. In re Thrope, 777 F. 2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and patentability of claim to a product does not rest merely on a difference in the method by which that product is made. Rather, it is the product itself which must be new and unobvious. Applicant has chosen to claim the invention in the product form. Thus a prior art product which possesses the claimed product characteristics can anticipate or render obvious the claim subject matter regardless of the manner in which it is fabricated. A rejection based on 35 U.S.C. section 102 or alternatively on 35 U.S.C. section 103 of the status is eminently fair and acceptable. In

Art Unit: 2874

re Brown and Saffer, 173 USPQ 685 and 688; In re Pilkington, 162 USPQ 147. As such no weight is given to the process steps recited in claims 14, 26 and 32.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7, 9-12, 19-21, 23 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited on attached form PTO-892 is the most relevant prior art known, however, the invention of claims 5-7, 9-12, 19-21, 23 and 24 distinguishes over the prior art of record for the following reasons.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 19 and 20; the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious an integrated optical switch as defined in claim 5 or a variable optical attenuator as defined in claim 19, wherein the second liquid crystal material posses a fixed refractive index lying between the ordinary and isotropic refractive indices of the first liquid crystal material in combination with the other limitations of the base and intervening claims. Claim 6 depends from claim 5. Claim 20 depends from claim 19.

Regarding claims 7 and 21; the claim is allowable over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious an integrated optical switch as defined in claim 7 or a variable optical attenuator as defined in claim 21, wherein the cladding has a refractive index lying between the

Art Unit: 2874

ordinary and isotropic refractive indices of the first liquid crystal material in combination with the other limitations of the base and intervening claims.

Regarding claims 9 and 23; the claim is allowable over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious an integrated optical switch as defined in claim 9 or a variable optical attenuator as defined in claim 23, wherein the refractive index of the channel waveguide core is higher than the ordinary refractive index of the first liquid crystal material and higher than the isotropic refractive index of the first liquid crystal material in combination with the other limitations of the base and intervening claims.

Regarding claims 10-12 and 24; the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious an integrated optical switch as defined in claim 10 or a variable optical attenuator as defined in claim 24, wherein one of or both the isotropic and ordinary refractive indices of the first liquid crystal material are higher than the refractive index of the channel waveguide core in combination with the other limitations of the base and intervening claims. Claims 11 and 12 depend form claim 10.

Hence, there is no reason or motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the prior art of record to make the invention of claims 5-7, 9-12, 19-21, 23 and 24.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa at telephone number (571) 272-2345. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00 AM to 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,668

Art Unit: 2874

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562.

Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa

Patent Examiner August 19, 2005